Title of Course: TED 6397-CRN 22183-
Doctrinal Proposal Writing Seminar
Semester: 2021 Spring, Online
Credits: 3

Instructor Information:
Name: Pei-Ling Hsu
Email: phsu3@utep.edu
Website: http://peilinghsu.utep.edu
Zoom link: https://utep-edu.zoom.us/j/6178265571
Office hours: by appointments

Course Description: Preparation of dissertation proposal. Student must successfully complete the course prior to scheduling the proposal hearing. Students may repeat this course if they cannot complete it the first time. If students are unsuccessful the second time, then the Probation/Dismissal Procedure will be initiated.

Pre-requisites
Students have formed a Dissertation Committee and successfully defended their Portfolios.

COVID-19 Precautions:
The UTEP Temporary Health and Safety Policy requires all faculty, staff, and students to follow these guidelines:

1. Stay at home if you (1) have been diagnosed with COVID-19, (2) are experiencing COVID-19 symptoms (e.g., fever, cough, body aches), or (3) have had recent contact with a person who has received a positive coronavirus test.
2. Report (screening.utep.edu) if you (1) have been diagnosed with COVID-19, (2) are experiencing COVID-19 symptoms, or (3) have had recent contact with a person who has received a positive coronavirus test. If you know of anyone who should report any of these three criteria, you should encourage them to report. If the individual cannot report, you can report on their behalf by sending an email to COVIDaction@utep.edu
3. Complete screening questions (screening.utep.edu) every day before coming to campus and follow instructions provided. The website will verify if you are permitted to attend class in-person. Under no circumstances should anyone come to class when feeling ill or exhibiting any of the known COVID-19 symptoms. If you are feeling unwell, please let me know as soon as possible, and alternative instruction will be provided. Students are advised to minimize the number of encounters with others to avoid infection.

While on campus:
• wear face coverings when in common areas or when others are present
• maintain a minimum separation of six (6) feet between yourself and others when possible, and arrange spaces to make this possible in almost all circumstances
• adhere to room/space limitations on number of occupants; and wash and/or sanitize hands frequently.

The University of Texas at El Paso requires everyone to wear a mask in common spaces, or where two or more individuals are located, including, but not limited to, classrooms.
You must wear a mask covering your nose and mouth at all times in this class. If you choose not to wear a mask, you may not enter the classroom. If you remove your mask, you will be asked to put it on and/or leave the classroom. Students who refuse to wear a mask and/or follow preventive COVID-19 guidelines will be dismissed from the class and will be subject to disciplinary action according to Section 1.2.3 Health and Safety and Section 1.2.2.5 Disruptions as defined in the UTEP Handbook of Operating Procedure.

4. Students should also complete COVID-19 training at this site: https://covidtraining.questionpro.com/

Student Learning Outcomes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Students will be able to:</th>
<th>Measurements/Assignments:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Develop readiness and capacity for conducting a doctoral research</td>
<td>(1) Portfolio, (2) CITI training certificates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Choose an appropriate research topic and be familiar with research done about the topic</td>
<td>(1) Three relevant dissertations, (2) Three relevant dissertation review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Develop researchable questions or themes to be addressed in the dissertation</td>
<td>Blueprint – Draft 1, Blueprint – Draft 2, Blueprint – Draft 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Develop the logic of dissertation proposal content organization</td>
<td>(1) Table of Content - Draft 1, (2) Powerpoint – Table of Content, (3) Table of Content - Draft 2, (4) Table of Content meeting results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Provide a scholarly rationale for the importance of the topic</td>
<td>(1) Chapter 1 - Draft 1, (2) Powerpoint – Chapter 1, (3) Chapter 1 - Draft 2, (4) Chapter 1 meeting results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Refine literature review and identify appropriate theoretical frameworks</td>
<td>(1) Chapter 2 - Draft 1, (2) Powerpoint – Chapter 2, (3) Chapter 2 - Draft 2, (4) Chapter 2 meeting results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Design appropriate research methods with specific analytical tools and timeline to complete the data collection</td>
<td>(1) Chapter 3 - Draft 1, (2) Powerpoint – Chapter 3, (3) Chapter 3 - Draft 2, (4) Chapter 3 meeting results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Refine the logical relationships between research questions, theoretical frameworks, and methods</td>
<td>(1) Proposal - Draft 1, (2) Powerpoint – Proposal, (3) Proposal - Draft 2, (4) Proposal meeting results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Defend their dissertation proposals to their dissertation committee</td>
<td>(1) Powerpoint - Mock Proposal Defense</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Learning Modules
This course is designed using a modular format—that is, each week is “packaged” as a single module so that all the materials, lecture notes, submission areas, discussion posts are in one area for a given week.

Grade for TED 6397
TED 6397 is a required milestone course for all TLC students and is graded on a scale of Satisfactory (S)/Unsatisfactory (U). Students in this milestone course cannot receive the grade of “I”.
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• Satisfactory (S): A student successfully defends his/her dissertation proposal by the end of the Semester
• Under Construction/Unsatisfactory (U): A student does not successfully defend his/her dissertation proposal by the end of the Semester

This course is designed to support students’ writing for their dissertation proposals. However, the grade is solely dependent on whether students defend their dissertation proposals to their dissertation committee successfully by the end of the semester. Neither the S or U grade is calculated as part of the GPA. The grade (S or U) will be assigned by the course instructor, in consultation with the dissertation chair. TED 6397 falls under the Graduate School’s policy of “continuous enrollment,” meaning that the course must be repeated in the following semester if a grade of “U” is assigned. For each assignment, a 2-1-0 range score will be given in Blackboard to reflect students’ work progress: “0” (Does not Meet Standards), “1” (Meets Standards), “2” (Exceeds Standards). Rubrics to evaluate assignments can be found in Appendix 1, 2, and 3 at the end of the syllabus.

**TLC Policy in the PhD Program in Teaching, Learning, and Culture**
As an interim policy, students who received a “U” in TED 6397 may take an Independent Study (TED 6395) or Dissertation I (TED 6398) with their dissertation chair that must culminate with a proposal defense before the final day of class for that semester. If students are unsuccessful the second time (in TED 6397 or TED 6395 or TED 6398), then the Probation/Dismissal Procedure will be initiated.

**Readings**
1) Reading Packet 1 (Dissertation Proposal):
   • 1-2: *Writing thesis and dissertation proposals*, The Graduate Writing Center of the Center for Excellence in Writing (PSU).
2) Reading Packet 2 (Conceptual Framework):
3) Reading Packet 3 (Literature Review):

4) Reading Packet 4 (Research Design):

5) Reading Packet 5 (Research Quality):

6) Reading Packet 6 (Proposal Defense):
All the readings above are available in Blackboard. Students are responsible to identify readings that are relevant to the topics of their dissertations.

**Technology Requirements**

Course content is delivered via the Internet through the Blackboard learning management system. Ensure your UTEP e-mail account is working and that you have access to the Web and a stable web browser. Google Chrome and Mozilla Firefox are the best browsers for Blackboard; other browsers may cause complications. When having technical difficulties, update your browser, clear your cache, or try switching to another browser.

You will need to have access to a computer/laptop, scanner, a webcam, and a microphone. You will need to download or update the following software: Microsoft Office, Adobe Acrobat Reader, Windows Media Player, QuickTime, and Java. Check that your computer hardware and software are up-to-date and able to access all parts of the course.

If you do not have a word-processing software, you can download Word and other Microsoft Office programs (including Excel, PowerPoint, Outlook and more) for free via UTEP’s Microsoft Office Portal. Click the following link for more information about Microsoft Office 365 and follow the instructions.

IMPORTANT: If you encounter technical difficulties beyond your scope of troubleshooting, please contact the UTEP Help Desk as they are trained specifically in assisting with technological needs of students. Please do not contact me for this type of assistance. The Help Desk is much better equipped than I am to assist you!

**Netiquette**

As we know, sometimes communication online can be challenging. It’s possible to miscommunicate what we mean or to misunderstand what our classmates mean given the lack of body language and immediate feedback. Therefore, please keep these netiquette (network etiquette) guidelines in mind. Failure to observe them may result in disciplinary action.

- Always consider audience. This is a college-level course; therefore, all communication should reflect polite consideration of other’s ideas.
- Respect and courtesy must be provided to classmates and to the instructor at all times. No harassment or inappropriate postings will be tolerated.
- When reacting to someone else’s message, address the ideas, not the person. Post only what anyone would comfortably state in a face-to-face situation.
- Blackboard is not a public internet venue; all postings to it should be considered private and confidential. Whatever is posted on in these online spaces is intended for classmates and professor only. Please do not copy documents and paste them to a publicly accessible website, blog, or other space.

**Standards of academic integrity:** Students are expected to uphold the highest standards of academic integrity. Any form of scholastic dishonesty is an affront to the pursuit of knowledge and jeopardizes the quality of the degree awarded to all graduates of UTEP. Any student who commits an act of scholastic dishonesty is subject to discipline. Scholastic dishonesty includes, but is not limited to: cheating, plagiarism, collusion [making plans to cheat with another], the
submission for credit of any work or materials that are not attributable in whole or in part to another person, taking an examination for another person, any act designed to give unfair advantage to a student or the attempt to commit such acts. Proven violations of the detailed regulations, as printed in the Handbook of Operating Procedures (HOP) and available in the Office of the Dean of Students, may result in sanctions ranging from disciplinary probation, to failing grades on the work in question, to failing grades in the course, to suspension or dismissal among others.

**Students with Disabilities statement:** If you have or believe you have a disability; you may wish to self-identify. You can do so by providing documentation to the Center for Accommodations and Support Services (CASS) located in Union E Room 106. Students who have been designated as having a disability must reactivate their standing with CASS on a yearly basis. Failure to report to this office will place a student on the inactive list and nullify benefits received. If you have a condition which may affect your ability to exit safely from the premises in an emergency or which may cause an emergency during class, you are encouraged to discuss this in confidence with the instructor and/or the director of CASS. You may call 919-747-5148 or by email to cass@utep.edu, or visit their office located in UTEP Union East, Room 106. For additional information, please visit the CASS website at [https://www.utep.edu/student-affairs/cass/](https://www.utep.edu/student-affairs/cass/).

**Student Conduct and Discipline:** All students are expected and required to obey the law and to comply with Regent, Rules, and Regulations ([http://www.utsystem.edu/bor/rules](http://www.utsystem.edu/bor/rules)) with system and University rules, with directives issued by an administrative official in the course of his or her authorized duties and to observe the standards of conduct appropriate for the university.

**Equal Opportunity:** All students regardless of gender, age, class, race, religion, physical disability, sexual orientation, etc., shall have equal opportunity without harassment in this course. Any problems with or questions related to this can be discussed confidentially with the instructor.

**Scholarly Tools & Resources**
1. Pei-Ling Hsu’s personal website: [http://peilinghsu.utep.edu](http://peilinghsu.utep.edu)
4. Free DOI Look Up – Crossref: [https://www.crossref.org/guestquery/](https://www.crossref.org/guestquery/)
8. LucidChart (Create diagrams on line): [https://www.lucidchart.com/](https://www.lucidchart.com/)
9. OWL- Purdue Online Writing Lab: [https://owl.purdue.edu/owl/purdue_owl.html](https://owl.purdue.edu/owl/purdue_owl.html)

**Evaluation & Coursework Requirements of Students:**
All assignments should be submitted to Blackboard and all due dates are listed in Table 1.
Assignments:
All assignments should be submitted to Blackboard and all due dates are listed in Table 1.

1. **Portfolio (1 point):**
   Please submit your Portfolio to Blackboard.

2. **CITI training certificates (1 point):**
   Please go to CITI Program: https://www.citiprogram.org/, create an account, and complete the “Social, Behavioral, and Education Sciences Responsible Conduct of Research”. There is no cost for the course and will take some hours to complete. Please submit the completion certificate to Blackboard.

3. **Blueprints (9 points)**
   The assignments of blueprints were to help students form a logic and capture a the big picture for their dissertation proposals. A rubric for these assignments can be found in Appendix 1.
   1) **Three relevant dissertations (1 point)**
      Students will submit 3 quality dissertations relevant to students’ topics. Please submit the assignment to Blackboard.
   2) **Three relevant dissertation review (2 points)**
      Students will review 3 relevant dissertations using Template 1. Please submit the assignment to Blackboard.
   3) **Blueprint – Draft 1 (2 points)**
      Students will produce a blueprint draft 1 using Template 2. Please submit the assignment to Blackboard.
   4) **Blueprint – Draft 2 (2 points)**
      Students will produce a blueprint draft 2 to improve the blueprint draft 1 using Template 2. Please submit the assignment to Blackboard.
   5) **Blueprint – Draft 3 (2 points)**
      Students will produce a blueprint draft 3 to improve the blueprint draft 2 using Template 2. Please submit the assignment to Blackboard.

4. **Table of Content (7 points):** Students will create a logical, detailed, and well-written Table of Contents for the first three chapters of the dissertation. The following 4 sub-assignments are designed to support students for this assignment. A rubric for this assignment can be found in Appendix 2.
   1) **Table of Content - Draft 1 (2 points)**
      Students will generate a table of content – draft 1 and submit it to (1) Blackboard and (2) Email to the Chair and cc the instructor.
   2) **Powerpoint – Table of Content (2 points)**
      Students will submit their powerpoint to Blackboard and present their table of content to the class to receive oral feedback. A paper-copy (3 slides per page) of this powerpoint file should be handed to the instructor before the class on their presentation day.
   3) **Table of Content - Draft 2 (2 points)**
Students will generate a table of content – draft 2 to address feedback from the instructor and peers in the class and submit it to (1) Blackboard and (2) Email to the Chair and cc the instructor.

4) **Table of Content meeting results (1 point)**
   Students will use Template 3 and submit the meeting results with their chair on the table of content to Blackboard.

5. **Chapter 2 (7 points):** Students will create a logical, detailed, and well-written for chapter 2 of the dissertation. The following 4 sub-assignments are designed to support students for this assignment. A rubric for this assignment can be found in Appendix 2.
   1) **Chapter 2 - Draft 1 (2 points)**
      Students will generate chapter 2 – draft 1 and submit it to (1) Blackboard and (2) Email to the Chair and cc the instructor.
   2) **Powerpoint – Chapter 2 (2 points)**
      Students will submit their powerpoint to Blackboard and present their chapter 2 to the class to receive oral feedback. A paper-copy (3 slides per page) of this powerpoint file should be handed to the instructor before the class on their presentation day.
   3) **Chapter 2 - Draft 2 (2 points)**
      Students will generate a chapter 2 – draft 2 to address feedback from the instructor and peers in the class and submit it to (1) Blackboard and (2) Email to the Chair and cc the instructor.
   4) **Chapter 2 meeting results (1 point)**
      Students will use Template 4 and submit the meeting results with their chair on chapter 2 to Blackboard.

6. **Chapter 3 (7 points):** Students will create a logical, detailed, and well-written for chapter 3 of the dissertation. The following 4 sub-assignments are designed to support students for this assignment. A rubric for this assignment can be found in Appendix 2.
   1) **Chapter 3 - Draft 1 (2 points)**
      Students will generate chapter 3 – draft 1 and submit it to (1) Blackboard and (2) Email to the Chair and cc the instructor.
   2) **Powerpoint – Chapter 3 (2 points)**
      Students will submit their powerpoint to Blackboard and present their chapter 3 to the class to receive oral feedback. A paper-copy (3 slides per page) of this powerpoint file should be handed to the instructor before the class on their presentation day.
   3) **Chapter 3 - Draft 2 (2 points)**
      Students will generate chapter 3 – draft 2 to address feedback from the instructor and peers in the class and submit it to (1) Blackboard and (2) Email to the Chair and cc the instructor.
   4) **Chapter 3 meeting results (1 point)**
      Students will use Template 5 and submit the meeting results with their chair on the chapter 3 to Blackboard.

7. **Chapter 1 (7 points):** Students will create a logical, detailed, and well-written for chapter 1 of the dissertation. The following 4 sub-assignments are designed to support students for this assignment. A rubric for this assignment can be found in Appendix 2.
1) **Chapter 1 - Draft 1 (2 points)**
Students will generate chapter 1 – draft 1 and submit it to (1) Blackboard and (2) Email to the Chair and cc the instructor.

2) **Powerpoint – Chapter 1 (2 points)**
Students will submit their powerpoint to Blackboard and present their chapter 1 to the class to receive oral feedback. A paper-copy (3 slides per page) of this powerpoint file should be handed to the instructor before the class on their presentation day.

3) **Chapter 1 - Draft 2 (2 points)**
Students will generate chapter 1 – draft 2 to address feedback from the instructor and peers in the class and submit it to (1) Blackboard and (2) Email to the Chair and cc the instructor.

4) **Chapter 1 meeting results (1 point)**
Students will use Template 6 and submit the meeting results with their chair on chapter 1 to Blackboard.

8. **Proposal (7 points):** Students will create a logical, detailed, and well-written for the proposal of the dissertation. The following 4 sub-assignments are designed to support students for this assignment. A rubric for this assignment can be found in Appendix 2.

   1) **Proposal - Draft 1 (2 points)**
   Students will generate the proposal – draft 1 and submit it to (1) Blackboard and (2) Email to the Chair and cc the instructor.

   2) **Powerpoint – Proposal (2 points)**
   Students will submit their powerpoint to Blackboard and present their proposal to the class to receive oral feedback. A paper-copy (3 slides per page) of this powerpoint file should be handed to the instructor before the class on their presentation day.

   3) **Proposal - Draft 2 (2 points)**
   Students will generate the proposal – draft 2 to address feedback from the instructor and peers in the class and submit it to (1) Blackboard and (2) Email to the Chair and cc the instructor.

   4) **Proposal meeting results (1 point)**
   Students will use Template 7 and submit the meeting results with their chair on proposal to Blackboard.

9. **Powerpoint - Mock Proposal Defense (2 points):**
   Each student will have up to 20 minutes to present a mock proposal defense to the public audience and submit its powerpoint to Blackboard. A rubric for this assignment can be found in Appendix 3. A paper-copy (3 slides per page) of this powerpoint file should be handed to the instructor before the class on their presentation day.

**Course Requirements:**

1. The link for all zoom meetings: [https://utep-edu.zoom.us/j/6178265571](https://utep-edu.zoom.us/j/6178265571)
2. All assignments should be submitted through the Blackboard system and use WORD files or Powerpoint files (except CITI training certificates and 3 dissertations). File names should start with “your name” and end with “the assignment name”. There should be no space in between. Taking the name of “Isaac Newton” for example.
   1) IsaacNewton-Portfolio.pdf
3. Due dates are specified in Table 1 and due time is 1:00 AM (midnight) for ALL electronic submissions.

**Set up Your Dissertation Proposal Defense:**
1. When students’ chairs decide the proposal is ready, students submit their finalized proposals to the doctoral committee and coordinate a date for the dissertation proposal defense to the dissertation committee, who should have at least 3 weeks to review the proposal.
2. Once a date is determined. Students will submit the date and a room schedule request form to the Program Coordinator, who will reserve a room.

**IRB Requirement for Your Dissertation:**
1. Only after the IRB (Internal Review Board) proposal is approved can students begin their research.
2. Students may work with their dissertation chairs to submit their IRB proposals while taking TED 6397 or after.

**Support for Scholarly Writing**
Students are strongly encouraged to seek writing support from following resources:
1) Students’ dissertation committee
2) Writing groups with peers
3) Writing workshops (e.g., by the Graduate School)
4) University Writing Center
5) Online resources (e.g., see the “scholarly tools and resources” section in the syllabus)
### Table 1. Course Schedule: Changes may be made during the classes. Students should follow the latest changes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topics &amp; Activities</th>
<th>Readings before the class</th>
<th>Assignments Due (1:00am-midnight)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 01 | Jan 25     | - Review syllabus  
|          | (Zoom)    | - Searching dissertations  
|          |           | - Table of Content            | 1. Portfolio  
|          |           |                               | 2. CITI training certificates  
|          |           |                               | 3-1. Three relevant dissertations  
|          |           |                               | 3-2. Three dissertations review (Template1)  
|          |           |                               | 4-1. Table of Content Draft 1 (EE)  
|          |           |                               | 4-2. Powerpoint – Table of Content (Max: 5 mins)                                                |
| 02 | Feb 1      | - Syllabus test  
|          | (Zoom)    | - Key components of dissertation proposal  
|          |           | - Blueprint for proposal  
|          |           | - Literature review            | Reading packet 1- Dissertation proposal  
|          |           |                               | Reading packet 2- Conceptual framework                                                         |
| 03 | Feb 8      | - Dissertation Blueprint  
|          |           | - Meet with the dissertation chair to discuss Table of Content (Template 3)            | Reading packet 1- Dissertation proposal  
|          |           |                               | Reading packet 2- Conceptual framework                                                         |
| 04 | Feb 15     | - Literature review  
|          | (Zoom)    | - Conceptual frameworks  
|          |           | - Theoretical frameworks  
|          |           | - Constructs                 | Reading packet 2- Conceptual framework                                                         |
| 05 | Feb 22     | - Meet with the dissertation chair to discuss Chapter 2 (Template 4)            | Reading packet 3- Literature review                                                          |
| 06 | Mar 01     | - Research design  
|          | (Zoom)    | - Research quality            | Reading packet 3- Literature review                                                          |
| 07 | Mar 08     | - Meet with the dissertation chair to discuss Chapter 3 (Template 5)  
|          |           | - Research methods            | Reading packet 4- Research design                                                            |
| 08 | Mar 15     | - Develop research questions            | Reading packet 4- Research design                                                            |
| 09 | Mar 22     | - Chapter 1-Introduction  
|          | (Zoom)    | - Develop research questions  
|          |           | - Blueprint for proposal      | Reading packet 5- Research quality                                                           |
| 10 | Mar 29     | - Chapter 1-Introduction  
|          |           | - Meet with the dissertation chair to discuss Chapter 1 (Template 6)          | Reading packets 5- Research quality                                                          |
| 11 | Apr 05     | - Proposal                           | Reading packet 6- Proposal defense                                                           |
| 12 | Apr 12     | - Dissertation Proposal Defense  
|          | (Zoom)    | - Meet with the dissertation chair to discuss Proposal (Template 7)           | Reading packet 6- Proposal defense                                                           |
| 14 | Apr 26     | - Individual meetings                |                                                                       | 8-4. Proposal meeting results (Template 7)  
|          |           |                               | - Time to set up your Proposal Defense!!!                                                    |
| 15 | May 03     | - Individual meetings                |                                                                       | - Time to set up your Proposal Defense!!!                                                    |
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**Appendix 1: Rubric for Evaluating “Review 3 dissertations”**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assignment</th>
<th>Exceeds Standards</th>
<th>Meets Standards</th>
<th>Does not Meet Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Blueprints (3 dissertation review & drafts 1, 2, and 3) | -Student has selected relevant dissertations.  
-Student is able to identify all key components of a dissertation for all 3 dissertations  
-Student is able to clearly articulate all key components for his/her own dissertation  
-These points are well supported with valid arguments and elaborations | -Student has selected relevant dissertations  
-Student can identify the major components of a dissertation for all 3 dissertations  
-Student is able to clearly articulate major key components for his/her own dissertation  
-The majority of these points are well-articulated | -Student has not selected relevant dissertations.  
-Student can only identify a few components of a dissertation for all 3 dissertations  
-Student can only articulate a few key components for his/her own dissertation  
-These points are not articulated and not convincing |

**Appendix 2: Rubric for Evaluating Dissertation Proposal Components**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assignment</th>
<th>Exceeds Standards</th>
<th>Meets Standards</th>
<th>Does not Meet Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Table of Content</td>
<td>-Student has created a logical, detailed, and well-written Table of Contents for the first three chapters of the dissertation</td>
<td>-Student’s Table of Contents follows a logical order and has sufficient detail.</td>
<td>-Student’s Table of Contents is not logical or is non-existent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 2</td>
<td>-Student has created a theoretical framework and a literature review that flow together and is comprehensive.</td>
<td>-Student’s theoretical framework and revised literature review are beginning to flow together.</td>
<td>-Student’s theoretical framework does not fit with the research design. -Student’s literature review has not been expanded/revised.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Chapter 1 | -Student has developed an introduction that situates the study and offers a rationale for conducting the study.  
-Student has a do-able timeline developed. | -Student’s introduction begins to explain a rationale for conducting the study.  
-Student’s timeline is do-able. | -Student’s introduction does not present an argument as to why the study should be done.  
-Student’s timeline is not do-able. -Student’s introduction and timeline are non-existent. |
<p>| Chapter 3 | -Student has developed a methods chapter that details the plan for data collection and a plan for data analysis. | -Student’s methods chapter is beginning to come together and become coherent. | -Student’s methods chapter is not coherent. -Student’s methods chapter does not relate to the methods literature. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appendixes</th>
<th>-Student’s plan situates the data collection in the literature.</th>
<th>-Students’ plan begins to situate the data collection in the literature.</th>
<th>-Student’s methods chapter does not exist.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attach all necessary information that help readers understand the project (e.g., instruments, curriculum, working sheets)</td>
<td>Attach most of the relevant information that help readers understand the project (e.g., instruments, curriculum, working sheets)</td>
<td>Attach only part of relevant information that help readers understand the project (e.g., instruments, curriculum, working sheets)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanics of English</td>
<td>-Appropriate expression of concepts, varied and accurate vocabulary, no errors occur with regards to grammar, conventions and spelling. -Follow APA format</td>
<td>-Clear expression and vocabulary, some mechanical errors exist but not to get in the way of understanding. -Have some APA format errors</td>
<td>-Some mechanical errors exist but not to get in the way of understanding. -Many errors with regards to grammar, spelling, and conventions. -There is no obvious APA formatting structure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 3: Evaluation of Mock Dissertation Proposal Presentations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assignment</th>
<th>Exceeds Standards</th>
<th>Meets Standards</th>
<th>Does not Meet Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mock Presentation</td>
<td>- Obtain average ranking of 4-5</td>
<td>- Obtain average ranking of 2-3.9</td>
<td>- Obtain average ranking of 0-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please rate the student’s performance, with 5 as the best and 1 as needs the most work. Include any comments you have to help the student improve the proposal.

1. Is the problem to be studied discussed clearly and compellingly?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Need much work 1</th>
<th>Need more work 2</th>
<th>Okay 3</th>
<th>Good 4</th>
<th>Excellent 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments:

2. Is the theoretical framework explicitly explained?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Need much work 1</th>
<th>Need more work 2</th>
<th>Okay 3</th>
<th>Good 4</th>
<th>Excellent 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments:

3. Are the most pertinent aspects of the literature(s) critically discussed?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Need much work 1</th>
<th>Need more work 2</th>
<th>Okay 3</th>
<th>Good 4</th>
<th>Excellent 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments:

4. Are the methods clearly explained and appropriate for the questions?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Need much work 1</th>
<th>Need more work 2</th>
<th>Okay 3</th>
<th>Good 4</th>
<th>Excellent 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comments:

5. What suggestions to you have for the student?

Comments: