Course: TED6319

Topic: Mixed Methods Research in Education

Credits: 3-0

Course Description
The course develops competencies necessary to understand and effectively conduct education research using mixed methodology. The course includes topics on the nature and foundations of mixed methods research (MMR), core mixed methods designs, collecting, analyzing, and interpreting data in mixed methods studies, writing and evaluating mixed methods research.

Contact Information
Professor: Dr. Mourat A. Tchoshanov  Office Hours: M&W 4:00 - 5:00PM  Phone: 915-747-7668  E-mail: mouratt@utep.edu
Fax: 915-747-7441  Website: http://mouratt.utep.edu

Required Text
- Selected Readings (distributed in the class).

Additional Bibliography could be found in the bibliography sections of the required texts.

Learning Outcomes
Upon completion of this course, students should be able:
- To understand and effectively conduct education research using mixed methodology
- To discuss the nature and foundations of MMR
- To understand and use core mixed methods designs
- To analyze, and interpret data in mixed methods studies
- To critique and evaluate empirical studies based on mixed methods design.
## Course Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Assignments/Submissions*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1.    | Introduction and Syllabus Review  
Discussion on the nature, advantages, and challenges of MMR  
**Critique #1:** The Nature of Mixed Methods Research (DC-MMR, Ch. 1) | Introduction Card Critique-1 |
| 2.    | **Critique #2:** The Foundations of Mixed Methods Research (DC-MMR, Ch. 2)  
Open Forum by Demand Individual Conferencing on Critique-1 | Critique-2 |
| 3.    | **Critique #3:** Core Mixed Methods Designs (DC-MMR, Ch. 3)  
Open Forum by Demand Individual Conferencing on Critique-2 | Critique-3 |
| 4.    | **Critique #4:** Applications of Core Mixed Methods Designs (DC-MMR, Ch. 4)  
Open Forum by Demand Individual Conferencing by Demand | Critique-4 |
| 5.    | **Critique #5:** Introducing a Mixed Methods Study (DC-MMR, Ch. 5)  
Open Forum by Demand Individual Conferencing by Demand | Critique-5 |
| 6.    | **Critique #6:** Collecting Data in Mixed Methods Research (DC-MMR, Ch. 6)  
Open Forum by Demand Individual Conferencing by Demand | Critique-6 |
| 7.    | **Critique #7:** Analyzing and Interpreting Data in Mixed Methods Research (DC-MMR, Ch. 7)  
Open Forum by Demand Individual Conferencing by Demand | Critique-7 |
| 8.    | **Critique #8:** Writing and Evaluating Mixed Methods Research (DC-MMR, Ch. 8) | Critique-8 |

* Critiques are due every week by Sundays at 11:59PM

## Course Assignment

**Critique** (10-12 pages, double spaced, APA style, Word): each student will write critiques addressing selected chapters (from DC-MMR). There will be eight critiques assigned during the class.
Grade Distribution
Critiques 8 critiques x 9 points each = 72 points
Participation 8 points
Total 80 points

Grading Scale
You are encouraged to demonstrate knowledge of content/issue you intend to critique, critical thinking, and communication accuracy while completing major course assignments.

71 – 80 = A  61 – 70 = B  51 - 60 = C  41 - 50 = D  00 - 40 = F

Assessment of Learning Outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Learning Outcome</th>
<th>Achieved by</th>
<th>Measured by</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To understand and effectively conduct education research using mixed methodology</td>
<td>Reading and reflection Participation in discussions</td>
<td>Written Critique Participation Checklist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To discuss the nature and foundations of MMR</td>
<td>Reading and reflection Individual conferencing Participation in discussions</td>
<td>Written Critique Formative Assessment Participation Checklist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To understand and use core mixed methods designs</td>
<td>Reading and reflection Participation in discussions</td>
<td>Written Critique Participation Checklist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To analyze, and interpret data in mixed methods studies</td>
<td>Reading and reflection Individual conferencing Participation in discussions</td>
<td>Written Critique Formative Assessment Participation Checklist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To critique and evaluate empirical studies based on mixed methods design</td>
<td>Reading and reflection Participation in discussions</td>
<td>Written Critique Participation Checklist</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How to Write a Critique
To write a critique of a text is to analyze and evaluate it, not just summarize or synthesize. A summary merely reports what the text said; that is, it answers only the question, "What did the author say?" A critique, on the other hand, analyzes, interprets, and evaluates the text, answering the questions How? Why? and How Well? A critique does not necessarily have to criticize the piece in a negative sense. Your reaction to the text may be largely positive, negative, or a combination of the two. It is important to explain why you respond to the text in a certain way.
So, the critique is a rigorous critical reading of a text (e.g., article, chapter, passage). As such, it picks up where the objective summary leaves off. In fact, a critique often includes a brief summary so that its readers will be able to quickly grasp the main ideas and proofs of the text under examination. Critiques come in all shapes and sizes, but a good way to writing critically is to plan along the following lines. First, read the passage thoroughly. Make plenty of notes, ask lots of questions, and highlight or underline anything you may wish to quote in your paper. Spend some time on this step. It is impossible to adequately critique something if you don’t fully understand it.

Next, write a summary. Identify the author’s main point (thesis) and list the types of proofs he or she employs to persuade the reader to believe or accept the thesis. For example, does the author use historical perspectives, quote noted authorities, provide statistical evidence, or appeal to a reader’s common sense? You should also try to figure out why the author is writing, and to whom. Remember that the purpose of a paper and its intended audience can affect the way the paper is written. Now, set your own agreement or disagreement with the author aside for a moment and investigate the validity of his or her argument.

- Does the author provide complete and accurate information? Some authors may leave important facts out of their presentations in order to avoid dealing with them, or they may give inaccurate data either through ignorance or in a deliberate attempt to mislead readers.
- Does the author provide information that is relevant to the issue?
- Does the author define key terms adequately and clearly?
- Is the author’s argument logically consistent?
- Is there other evidence that would support a counter-argument?

Once you have examined carefully the text you intend to critique, use the information you have collected to draft a response. Do you agree or disagree with the author’s views and proofs? Be sure to discuss specific reasons why you agree or disagree with something. The critique’s value as an academic document rests on your ability to say precisely why you agree or disagree. Finally, draft the critique. You should include:

- **An introduction** which introduces the passage and its author. This introduction should clearly state the author’s thesis and the arguments you intend to make about it. The introduction should also provide your reader with a little background so that he or she will understand why this critique is worth reading. What do you know about the issue under discussion? Is it of current or historical interest? Is it at the heart of a controversy? These details can strengthen your introduction.
- **A brief summary.** You should already have drafted a summary. Now you can include it in your draft of your critique, making sure to use adequate transitions so that the writing flows smoothly.
- **Your analysis** of the author’s presentation. Present an in-depth analysis of the validity of the author’s logic and use of evidence. Be sure to present your information in a form, which is easy to follow, using transitional elements whenever necessary to preserve the smooth flow of your writing.

- **Your own response to the argument.** As discussed above, you may agree or disagree with the author’s views, and this is the part of the critique where you make your own views on the issue clear. Remember that your own arguments must be well-supported. You must give compelling reasons for your agreement or disagreement with the author. Discuss the limitations, weaknesses, or problems of the text.

- **A conclusion.** Evaluate the author’s overall success or failure in achieving his or her purpose. Also, remind your reader of the strengths and weaknesses of the passage.

Once the critique is drafted, revise it, making sure you have emphasized the most salient points in your discussion. Check your sentence variety, your organization, and your word choice. Is the critique all it can be? Have you edited the critique to eliminate errors in spelling, sentence structure, and agreement?

**Rubrics for Critique**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Good (9 points)</th>
<th>Fair (5 points)</th>
<th>Poor (2 points)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Knowledge of Content and Summary</strong></td>
<td>Solid knowledge and understanding of the issue to be critiqued is demonstrated. The article is clearly but succinctly summarized - the key points of the article are addressed.</td>
<td>Good knowledge and understanding of the issue to be critiqued is demonstrated. The article is clearly summarized, but it lacks a focus on key points. The summary is not succinct.</td>
<td>Weak knowledge and understanding of the issue to be critiqued is demonstrated. The article summary is unclear or overly detailed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Thinking and Argumentation</td>
<td>Strengths and weaknesses that are central to the key points of the article are addressed. The discussion of strengths and weaknesses take up the majority of the assignment.</td>
<td>Strengths and weaknesses that are peripheral to the article are addressed. The discussion of strengths and weaknesses take up the majority of the assignment.</td>
<td>Strengths and weaknesses are addressed peripherally or not at all. The discussion of strengths and weaknesses take up only a small part of the assignment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization and Communication Accuracy</td>
<td>Paper is well organized, has a very clear intro, body and conclusion. The purpose of the assignment becomes clear within.</td>
<td>Paper is organized, has an intro, body and conclusion. The purpose of the paper becomes clear within.</td>
<td>Paper is not well organized, has an unclear or non-existent intro, body and conclusion. The purpose of the paper becomes unclear within.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Course Schedule Changes
As course instructor, I reserve the right to adjust the course syllabus or change assignments as needed. I will be sure to give you plenty of notice prior to any changes. Remember that our course syllabus and class schedule are living documents and can be changed!

Professionalism
Along with basic standards of citizenship (e.g., “Student Conduct” and “Disruptive Acts Policy” in the UTEP Catalog), students in this course are required to display a positive attitude and professionalism. Be open to using or sharing opportunities for professional growth via open forum option. In terms of written assignments, professionalism includes that all assignments be Word processed, checked for spelling/grammar, and have any appropriate output/graphics electronically pasted into the document.

Academic Integrity
The instructor trusts that you understand and especially appreciate that cheating, plagiarism and collusion in dishonest activities are serious acts, which erode the university’s purpose and integrity. It is expected that work you submit will represent your own effort, will not involve copying from or accessing unauthorized resources or people (e.g., from a previous year’s class), and will appropriately acknowledge (with complete citations) allowable references that you do consult. Also, do not resubmit work completed for other classes without specific acknowledgment and permission from the instructor. Violations are unacceptable and required to be referred to the Dean of Students Office for possible disciplinary action.

Copyright Notice
Many of the materials that are posted within this course are protected by copyright law. These materials are only for the use of students enrolled in this course and only for the purpose of this course. They may not be further retained or disseminated.

Disabled Student Statement
In Section 504 of the Vocational Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990, if a student needs an accommodation then the Office of Disabled Student Services located at UTEP need to be contacted. If you have a condition, which may affect your ability to perform successfully in this course, you are encouraged to discuss this in confidence with the instructor and/or the director of the Disabled Student Services. You may call 915.747.5148 for general information about the American with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the rights that you have as a UTEP student with a disability. Individuals with disabilities have the right to equal access and opportunity. It is the student’s responsibility to contact the instructor and The Disabled Student Services Office at The University of Texas at El Paso.