# University of Texas at El Paso College of Education Department of Teacher Education PhD TLC Program Spring 2021

Course TED6310

**Topic** Learning Theory

Credits 3-0

# **Course Description**

The course examines philosophical, socio-cultural, and psychological perspectives on what it means to know and learn in the various disciplines. The course emphasizes different approaches to theorizing and studying reasoning that involve (but is not limited to) problem solving, inquiry, critical thought, metacognition, and symbolic thinking.

### **Contact Information**

Professor: Dr. Mourat A. Tchoshanov Office Hours: T 4:30 - 5:30PM & 8:30-9:30PM

Phone: 915-747-7668 E-mail: mouratt@utep.edu
Fax: 915-747-7441 Website: http://mourat.utep.edu

### **Required Text**

• The Cambridge Handbook of the Learning Sciences (2014). 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Edited by R. Keith Sawyer. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

**Additional Bibliography** could be found in the bibliography sections of the required texts.

### **Learning Outcomes**

Upon completion of this course, students should be able:

- To understand the cognitive and socio-cultural processes that result in effective learning and teaching
- To reflect on implementation of the key findings of learning sciences in the classroom with emphasis on creating successful learning environments
- To critique and evaluate the key findings of the learning sciences in order to better understand the phenomenon of effective learning
- To learn techniques and approaches of learning sciences to improve the effectiveness of learning and teaching.

# **Course Schedule**

| Week                | Activities   | Assignments       |
|---------------------|--|-------------------|
| 1.                  | Introduction and Syllabus Review   | Introduction Card |
| JAN. 19             | Discussion on the Learning Sciences  |                   |
| 2.                  | Critique #1: Foundations of the Learning Sciences                                      | Critique-1        |
| JAN. 26             | (CHLS, Ch. 2, pp. 21-43)   |                   |
|                     | Open Forum   |                   |
| 3.                  | Peer review on critique 1  | Peer review 1     |
| FEB. 2              | Individual Conferencing  |                   |
| 4.                  | Critique #2: A History of Conceptual Change Research                                   | Critique-2        |
| FEB. 9              | (CHLS, Ch. 5, pp. 88-108)  |                   |
| _                   | Open Forum by demand   |                   |
| 5.                  | Peer review on critique 2  | Peer review 2     |
| FEB. 16             | Open Forum/ Ind. Conferencing by demand  | 0.11. 0           |
| 6.                  | Critique #3: Design-Based Research (CHLS, Ch. 8, pp.                                   | Critique-3        |
| FEB. 23             | 151-170)   |                   |
| 7                   | Open Forum/ Ind. Conferencing by demand  | Do or rovious 2   |
| 7.<br><b>MAR. 2</b> | Peer review on critique 3  | Peer review 3     |
| 8.                  | Open Forum/ Ind. Conferencing by demand  Critique #4: Complex Systems and the Learning | Critique 4        |
| MAR. 9              | Sciences (CHLS, Ch. 16, pp. 319-338)   | Critique-4        |
| 9.                  | Spring break   |                   |
| MAR. 16             |  |                   |
| 10.                 | Peer review on critique 4  | Peer review 4     |
| MAR. 23             | Open Forum/ Ind. Conferencing by demand  |                   |
| 11.                 | Critique #5: Arguing to Learn (CHLS, Ch. 22, pp. 439-                                  | Critique-5        |
| MAR. 30             | 460)   | '                 |
|                     | Open Forum/ Ind. Conferencing by demand  |                   |
| 12.                 | Peer review on critique 5  | Peer review 5     |
| APR. 6              | Open Forum/ Ind. Conferencing by demand  |                   |
| 13.                 | Critique #6: Select a Chapter from Part V (CHLS, Ch.                                   | Critique-6        |
| APR. 13             | 27-31, pp. 545-625)  |                   |
|                     | Open Forum/ Ind. Conferencing by demand  |                   |
| 14.                 | Peer review on critique 6  | Peer review 6     |
| APR. 20             | Open Forum/ Ind. Conferencing by demand  |                   |
| 15.                 | Presentation of the Final Project  | Power Point       |
| APR. 27             |  |                   |
| 16.                 | Final project: Book review   | Final paper       |
| May 4               |  |                   |

Note: Assignments are due on corresponding Sundays at 11:59PM

# **Course Assignments**

- 1. **Critique** (10-12 pages, double spaced, APA style, Word): each student will write critiques addressing selected chapters (from CHLS). There will be six critiques assigned during the class.
- 2. **Peer-Review**: each student will be assigned six critiques of their peers to review during the semester using blind review method.
- 3. **Book Review** (13-15 pages, double spaced, APA style, Word): each student will write a book review on self-selected newly published book related to Learning Sciences in his/her field of study.
- 4. **Book Review Presentation**: each student will present the book review as a Power Point Presentation.

### **Grade Distribution**

| Critiques                       | 6 critiques x 9 points each = 54 points |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Peer-reviews                    | 6 reviews x 4 points each = 24 points   |
| Book review                     | 14 points                               |
| <b>Book review presentation</b> | n 8 points                              |
| Total                           | 100 points                              |

# **Grading Scale**

You are encouraged to demonstrate *knowledge of content/ issue you intend to critique, critical thinking, and communication accuracy* while completing major course assignments.

91 - 100 = A 80 - 90 = B 69 - 79 = C 58 - 68 = D 00 - 57 = F

### Assessment of Learning Outcomes

| Learning Outcome   | Achieved by                  | Measured by          |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------|
| To understand the cognitive and                                | Reading and reflection       | Written Critique     |
| socio-cultural processes that result in effective learning and | Participation in discussions | Peer review          |
| teaching   |                              | Participation        |
| To reflect on implementation of                                | Reading and reflection       | Written Critique     |
| the key findings of learning sciences in the classroom with    | Individual conferencing      | Formative Assessment |
| emphasis on creating successful                                | Participation in discussions | Participation        |
| learning environments  |                              |                      |

| To critique and evaluate the key                                    | Reading and reflection       | Written Critique  |
|---|------------------------------|-------------------|
| findings of the learning sciences in order to better understand the | Participation in discussions | Participation     |
| phenomenon of effective   |                              | Peer/ book review |
| learning  |                              |                   |
| To learn techniques and   | Reading and reflection       | Written Critique  |
| approaches of learning sciences to improve the effectiveness of     | Individual conferencing      | Book review       |
| learning and teaching.  | Participation in discussions | Participation     |

# How to Write a Critique

To write a critique of a text is to analyze and evaluate it, not just summarize or synthesize. A summary merely reports what the text said; that is, it answers only the question, "What did the author say?" A critique, on the other hand, analyzes, interprets, and evaluates the text, answering the questions How? Why? and How Well? A critique does not necessarily have to criticize the piece in a negative sense. Your reaction to the text may be largely positive, negative, or a combination of the two. It is important to explain why you respond to the text in a certain way.

So, the critique is a rigorous critical reading of a text (e.g., article, chapter, passage). As such, it picks up where the objective summary leaves off. In fact, a critique often includes a brief summary so that its readers will be able to quickly grasp the main ideas and proofs of the text under examination. Critiques come in all shapes and sizes, but a good way to writing critically is to plan along the following lines. First, read the passage thoroughly. Make plenty of notes, ask lots of questions, and highlight or underline anything you may wish to quote in your paper. Spend some time on this step. It is impossible to adequately critique something if you don't fully understand it.

Next, write a summary. Identify the author's main point (thesis) and list the types of proofs he or she employs to persuade the reader to believe or accept the thesis. For example, does the author use historical perspectives, quote noted authorities, provide statistical evidence, or appeal to a reader's common sense? You should also try to figure out why the author is writing, and to whom. Remember that the purpose of a paper and its intended audience can affect the way the paper is written. Now, set your own agreement or disagreement with the author aside for a moment and investigate the validity of his or her argument.

- Does the author provide complete and accurate information? Some authors may leave important facts out of their presentations in order to avoid dealing with them, or they may give inaccurate data either through ignorance or in a deliberate attempt to mislead readers.
- Does the author provide information that is relevant to the issue?

- Does the author define key terms adequately and clearly?
- Is the author's argument logically consistent?
- Is there other evidence that would support a counter-argument?

Once you have examined carefully the text you intend to critique, use the information you have collected to draft a response. Do you agree or disagree with the author's views and proofs? Be sure to discuss specific reasons why you agree or disagree with something. The critique's value as an academic document rests on your ability to say precisely why you agree or disagree. Finally, draft the critique. You should include:

- An introduction which introduces the passage and its author. The introduction should also provide your reader with a little background so that he or she will understand why this critique is worth reading. What do you know about the issue under discussion? Is it of current or historical interest? Is it at the heart of a controversy? These details can strengthen your introduction. At the end of the introduction, you should <u>clearly state the point(s)</u> you are to critique and the arguments you intend to make about it.
- A brief summary. You should already have drafted a summary. Now you can include it in your draft of your critique, making sure to use adequate transitions so that the writing flows smoothly.
- Your analysis of the author's presentation of the selected point(s). Present an in-depth analysis of the validity of the author's logic and use of evidence in supporting the selected point(s). Be sure to present your information in a form, which is easy to follow, using transitional elements whenever necessary to preserve the smooth flow of your writing.
- Your own response to the argument. As discussed above, you may agree or disagree with the author's point(s), and this is the part of the critique where you make your own views on the point(s) clear. Remember that your own arguments must be well-supported through the extensive use of <u>references</u>, <u>evidence and/or logic</u>. You must give compelling reasons for your agreement or disagreement with the author. Discuss the limitations, weaknesses, or problems of the text.
- A conclusion. Synthesize your critique. Evaluate the author's overall success or failure in achieving his or her point(s). Also, remind your reader of the strengths and weaknesses of the paper.

Once the critique is drafted, revise it, making sure you have emphasized the most salient points in your discussion. Check your sentence variety, your organization, and your word choice. Is the critique all it can be? Have you edited the critique to eliminate errors in spelling, sentence structure, and agreement?

### **Rubrics for Critique**

| Criteria | Good (9 points) | Fair ( 5 points) | Poor ( 2 points) |
|----------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|

|   | T  | T   | T  |
|---|--|---|--|
| Knowledge of<br>Content and<br>Summary  Critical Thinking | Solid knowledge and understanding of the issue to be critiqued is demonstrated. The article is clearly but succinctly summarized - the key points of the article are addressed.  Strengths and weaknesses that are | Good knowledge and understanding of the issue to be critiqued is demonstrated. The article is clearly summarized, but it lacks a focus on key points. The summary is not succinct.  Strengths and weaknesses that are | Weak knowledge and understanding of the issue to be critiqued is demonstrated. The article summary is unclear or overly detailed.  Strengths and weaknesses are  |
| Argumentation   | central to the key points of the article are addressed. The discussion of strengths and weaknesses take up the majority of the assignment.   | peripheral to the article are addressed. The discussion of strengths and weaknesses take up the majority of the assignment.   | addressed peripherally or not at all. The discussion of strengths and weaknesses take up only a small part of the assignment.  |
| Organization and Communication Accuracy                   | Paper is well organized, has a very clear intro, body and conclusion. The purpose of the paper is clear from the beginning. There are no grammatical errors or typos. APA and page length requirements are met.    | Paper is organized, has an intro, body and conclusion. The purpose of the paper becomes clear within the paper. There are few grammatical errors or typos. APA and page length requirements are met.                  | Paper is not well organized, has an unclear or non-existent intro, body and conclusion. The purpose of the paper is unclear. There are many grammatical errors and/or typos. APA and page length requirements are not met. |

### **Course Schedule Changes**

As course instructor, I reserve the right to adjust the course syllabus or change assignments as needed. I will be sure to give you plenty of notice prior to any changes. Remember that our course syllabus and class schedule are living documents and can be changed!

### **Professionalism**

Along with basic standards of citizenship (e.g., "Student Conduct" and "Disruptive Acts Policy" in the UTEP Catalog), students in this course are required to display a positive attitude and professionalism. Be open to using or sharing opportunities for professional growth via Web CT Discussion Board option. In terms of written assignments, professionalism includes that all assignments be

Word processed, checked for spelling/ grammar, and have any appropriate output/ graphics electronically pasted into the document.

## **Academic Integrity**

The instructor trusts that you understand and especially appreciate that cheating, plagiarism and collusion in dishonest activities are serious acts, which erode the university's purpose and integrity. It is expected that work you submit will represent your own effort, will not involve copying from or accessing unauthorized resources or people (e.g., from a previous year's class), and will appropriately acknowledge (with complete citations) allowable references that you do consult. Also, do not resubmit work completed for other classes without specific acknowledgment and permission from the instructor. Violations are unacceptable and required to be referred to the Dean of Students Office for possible disciplinary action.

## **Copyright Notice**

Many of the materials that are posted within this course are protected by copyright law. These materials are only for the use of students enrolled in this course and only for the purpose of this course. They may not be further retained or disseminated.

### Disabled Student Statement

In Section 504 of the Vocational Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990, if a student needs an accommodation then the Office of Disabled Student Services located at UTEP need to be contacted. If you have a condition, which may affect your ability to perform successfully in this course, you are encouraged to discuss this in confidence with the instructor and/or the director of the Disabled Student Services. You may call 915.747.5148 for general information about the American with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the rights that you have as a UTEP student with a disability. Individuals with disabilities have the right to equal access and opportunity. It is the student's responsibility to contact the instructor and The Disabled Student Services Office at The University of Texas at El Paso.

# **Statement Regarding COVID-19 Precautions**

-You must STAY AT HOME and REPORT if you (1) have been diagnosed with COVID19, (2) are experiencing COVID-19 symptoms, or (3) have had recent contact with a person who has received a positive coronavirus test. Reports should be made at screening.utep.edu. If you know anyone who should report any of these three criteria, encourage them to report. If the individual cannot report, you can report on their behalf by sending an email to COVIDaction@utep.edu. After reporting at screening.utep.edu, please also

send me an email so I know why you are absent and alternative instruction can be provided.

-Complete self-screening (screening.utep.edu) prior to every campus visit. For each day that you attend campus—for any reason—you must complete the questions on the UTEP screening website (screening.utep.edu) prior to arriving on campus. The website will verify if you are permitted to come to campus. Under no circumstances should anyone come to class when feeling ill or exhibiting any of the known COVID-19 symptoms. If you are feeling unwell, please let me know as soon as possible, and alternative instruction will be provided. Students are advised to minimize the number of encounters with others to avoid infection.

-You must wear a face covering over your nose and mouth at all times in this class. If unable to wear a face covering (e.g., medical reasons), the best course of action is to enroll in courses that are entirely online or to work with academic advisors, if necessary, to identify alternative courses. If this is not possible, request an accommodation from Center for Accommodations and Support Services (CASS) prior to coming to campus for in-person activities. Students who receive an accommodation to not wear a face covering must share this with me and work to minimize contact with others in the class. If you choose not to wear a face covering and do not have a CASS accommodation, you may not enter the classroom. If you remove your face covering, you will be asked to put it on or leave the classroom. Students who refuse to wear a face covering and follow preventive COVID-19 guidelines will be dismissed from the class and will be subject to disciplinary action according to Section 1.2.3 Health and Safety and Section 1.2.2.5 Disruptions in the UTEP Handbook of Operating Procedures. 12

- -Maintain 6 feet of separation at all times, including when talking with other students.
- -Follow signage indicating specific entry and exit doors and pathways. Follow any stated protocols for leaving and re-entering the classroom. Do not cluster in groups and keep hallways open.
- -Wash hands and/or apply hand sanitizer prior to entering classroom and after leaving a classroom. Do not touch face until after hands are washed/sanitized.
- -- It is important that you participate in UTEP's COVID testing program and monitor your e-mail for regular testing notices. Knowing your status is vital to taking care of yourself and protecting the health of others around you.
- -Please note that if COVID-19 conditions deteriorate in the City of El Paso, all course activities may be transitioned to remote delivery.

# **Statement Regarding COVID-19 Accommodations**

Students are not permitted on campus when they have a positive COVID-19 test, exposure or symptoms. If you are not permitted on campus, you should contact me as soon as possible so we can arrange necessary and appropriate accommodations. Students who are considered high risk according to CDC

guidelines and have an ongoing medical condition and/or those who live with individuals who are considered high risk who also have an ongoing medical condition may contact Center for Accommodations and Support Services (CASS) to discuss temporary accommodations for on-campus courses and activities. Apply at cassportal.utep.edu and fill out a three-question application. You will be scheduled to meet with disability coordinator to discuss your unique situation.