

Phil 1301: Introduction to Philosophy
Summer 2017, University of Texas, El Paso
Class Meets: M-F 11:40—1:50 p.m., Miner's Hall 301

Contact Information

Professor: Marc A. Moffett
Phone: 915.747.5804
Email: mamoffett@utep.edu

Office: Worrell 202
Office Hrs by appointment.

Free and open discussion is the life-blood of philosophy. Consequently, no student shall be discriminated against on the basis of race, gender, disability, place of national origin, sexual orientation, religious affiliation, political affiliation or any other similar factor. I expect all members of the class to be equally tolerant of differing perspectives and ideologies. Critique, evaluate and analyze the ideas put forward by me and others; do not demean or belittle them.

The word *philosophy* comes from the Greek words *philos* (love) and *sophos* (wisdom). So ***philosophy* literally means the love of wisdom**. And although philosophy is often thought of as an extremely impractical course of study, its emphasis on achieving wisdom suggests otherwise. Let me explain.

Each of us intuitively understands that there is a difference between someone who is wise and someone who is merely clever or intelligent or learned (educated). Hitler, for instance, was both intelligent and well-educated, but not wise; Mother Theresa on the other hand was wise, but not well-educated. Wisdom, therefore, is not the same as intelligence or cleverness or learnedness. What then does it mean to be wise?

At a minimum, **a wise person is a person who can make good decisions about the course of his or her life**. That is, good decisions about how to act—which paths to take and which paths not to take. **This is the fundamental problem in philosophy: how should I live? What is the good life?**

Course Objectives: Well ... we aren't under any illusion that we can actually give you an answer to the basic philosophical question, "What is the good life?" Short of that, however, this class should provide you with a number of important practical skills that will help you to lead a **better** life. These include:

- **An appreciation of a number of fundamental philosophical problems.**
- **Increased analytical and argumentative skills.**
- **A heightened awareness of the sorts of basic, often controversial, assumptions we all make on a routine basis and a nagging sense that maybe—just maybe—some of those assumptions are wrong!**

As you can see, our goal is *not* to get you to internalize a bunch of philosophical doctrines. Rather, **we want to get you to think critically and self-consciously about the world and your place in it**. Doing this will of course require you to study a bit of philosophy—but that is the means, rather than the end.

Materials:

Required

Laurence Bonjour & Ann Baker, *Philosophical Problems*, 2nd edition, New York: Pearson Longman, 2008.

Optional

- **A good stiff cup of Joe!**

Evaluation:

- **Four Exams (25% of total grade EACH)**. These exams will consist of a number of short answer and essays questions. Students may work together, but **must write their own answers**.

The grading scale for this class is given below:

A	B	C	D	F
>89.5%	>79.5%	>69.5%	>59.5%	≤59.5%

Academic Integrity

Academic integrity is a concern for everyone. By joining the UTEP community every student agrees to abide by the Scholastic Dishonesty Policy. Any student who commits an act of scholastic dishonesty is subject to discipline. Scholastic dishonesty includes, but is not limited to cheating, plagiarism, collusion, and the submission for credit of any work or materials that are attributable to another person.

Cheating

- Copying from the test paper of another student
- Communicating with another student during a test
- Giving or seeking aid from another student during a test
- Possession and/or use of unauthorized materials during tests (i.e. Crib notes, class notes, books, etc)
- Substituting for another person to take a test
- Falsifying research data, reports, academic work offered for credit

Plagiarism

- Using someone's work in your assignments without the proper citations
- Submitting the same paper or assignment from a different course, without direct permission of instructors

Collusion

Unauthorized collaboration with another person in preparing academic assignments

Class Schedule: The following is a detailed schedule of classes. **The schedule, however, is flexible.** I will freely amend it as time, interest and comprehension dictate.

PhilProbs = Philosophical Problems.

*Please note that the assigned books are not really textbooks. The readings are designed to reinforce and complement lecture material, not replace it. Also, you are strongly encouraged to read the introductions to each chapter. In some places I have listed a few “suggested readings” for a section. In order to pass this course, it is not necessary to read this material. However, you will get much, much more out of the course if you do take the time to do the additional reading.

1. Logic, Analysis and Intuition

Suggested Readings for this section:

Chapter 1: What is Philosophy?, *PhilProbs*
 Baker, Intro to Philosophical Thinking, *PhilProbs*
RBA (yes, all of it; it is not that long!)

M	June. 12	Validity, Soundness & Argumentation Truth Tables and the Logical Connectives Lecture
T	June. 13	Argument Forms and Formal Fallacies Necessary & Sufficient Conditions Lecture.
W	June 14	Modal Logic, Analysis and Intuition Lecture

2. God, Faith, and Belief

§2.1 A Priori Arguments for God’s Existence

R	June 15	Logic Exam The Cosmological Argument Samuel Clarke, the Cosmological Argument, <i>PhilProbs</i> . David Hume, Problems with the Cosmological Argument, <i>PhilProbs</i> . St. Thomas Aquinas, the Five Ways, <i>PhilProbs</i> (optional)
F	June 16	The Ontological Argument René Descartes, The Ontological Argument, <i>PhilProbs</i> . Immanuel Kant, The Impossibility of an Ontological Proof, <i>PhilProbs</i> (optional)

§2.2 A Posteriori Arguments Concerning God’s Existence

M	June 19	The Argument from Design William Paley, The Argument from Design, <i>PhilProbs</i> Stephen J. Gould, The Panda’s Thumb, <i>PhilProbs</i> David Hume, Problems with the Argument from Design, <i>PhilProbs</i> The Problem of Evil David Hume, The Problem of Evil, <i>PhilProbs</i> J. L. Mackie, Evil and Omniscience, <i>PhilProbs</i>
---	---------	--

§2.3 God’s Existence and Faith

T June 20 Pascal's Wager
Walter Kaufmann, Pascal's Wager, *PhilProbs*

Doxastic Voluntarism and the Ethics of Belief
W. K. Clifford, the Ethics of Belief, *PhilProbs*

3. Ethics

§3.1 Meta-ethics

W June 21 Ethical Relativism
James Rachels, The Challenge of Cultural Relativism, *PhilProbs*

Subjectivism vs. Objectivism
James Rachels, Subjectivism in Ethics, *PhilProbs*
Plato, Euthyphro, *PhilProbs*

§3.2 Ethical Theory

R June 22 **Second Exam**

Egoism
Joel Feinberg, Psychological Egoism, *PhilProbs*
James Rachels, Ethical Egoism, available from instructor.

F June 23 Utilitarianism
Jeremy Bentham, From An Intro to Principles of Morals and Legislation, *PhilProbs* (optional)
J. S. Mill, From Utilitarianism, *PhilProbs*
J. J. C. Smart, Extreme and Restricted Utilitarianism, *PhilProbs*
Bernard Williams, A Critique of Utilitarianism, *PhilProbs*

M June 26 Deontology
Immanuel Kant, From the Foundations of the Metaphysics of Morals, *PhilProbs*

T June 27 Virtue Ethics
Aristotle, From the Nichomachean Ethics, *PhilProbs*
Rosaling Hursthouse, Normative Virtue Ethics, *PhilProbs*

4. The Metaphysics of Persons

§4.1 Personal Identity

W June 28 Unity of Consciousness
John Locke, Personal Identity, *PhilProbs*
Thomas Reid, Of Mr. Locke's Account of Personal Identity, *PhilProbs*

Problems of Fission
Bernard Williams, The Self and the Future, *PhilProbs*

R June 29 **Third Exam**

The Indeterminacy View
Derek Parfit, Personal Identity, *PhilProbs*

§4.2 The Mind/Body Problem

F June 30 The Mind/Body Problem Keith Campbell, How the Mind-Body Problem Arises, *PhilProbs*

The Identity Theory

J. J. C. Smart, Sensations and Brain Processes, *PhilProbs*

M July 03 Functionalism
 A. M. Turing, Computing Machinery and Intelligence, *PhilProbs*
 Jerry Fodor, The Mind-Body Problem, *PhilProbs*
 Thomas Nagel, What is it like to be a bat?, *PhilProbs*
 Frank Jackson, What Mary didn't Know, *PhilProbs*

5. Epistemology

§5.1 What is Knowledge?

T July 04 Knowledge, Infallibilism and Skepticism
 Rene Descartes, First Meditation, *PhilProbs*

The Gettier Problem

Edmund Gettier, Is Justified True Belief Knowledge? On Blackboard

W July 05 Contextualism

R July 06 **Catch-up/Review**

F July 07 **Fourth Exam 1:00-2:30 PM**