DESCRIPTION

The word philosophy comes from the Greek words philos (love) and sophos (wisdom). So philosophy literally means the love of wisdom. And although philosophy is often thought of as an extremely impractical course of study, its emphasis on achieving wisdom suggests otherwise. Let me explain.

Each of us intuitively understands that there is a difference between someone who is wise and someone who is merely clever or intelligent or learned (educated). Hitler, for instance, was both intelligent and well-educated, but not wise; Mother Theresa on the other hand was wise, but not well-educated. Wisdom, therefore, is not the same as intelligence or cleverness or learnedness. What then does it mean to be wise?

At a minimum, a wise person is a person who can make good decisions about the course of his or her life. That is, good decisions about how to act—which paths to take and which paths not to take. This is the fundamental problem in philosophy: how should I live? What is the good life?

COURSE OBJECTIVES, MATERIALS AND EVALUATION

Course Objectives

Well … we aren’t under any illusion that we can actually give you an answer to the basic philosophical question, “What is the good life?” Short of that, however, this class should provide you with a number of important practical skills that will help you to lead a better life. These include:

- An appreciation of a number of fundamental philosophical problems.
- Increased analytical and argumentative skills.
- A heightened awareness of the sorts of basic, often controversial, assumptions we all make on a routine basis and a nagging sense that maybe—just maybe—some of those assumptions are wrong!

As you can see, our goal is not to get you to internalize a bunch of philosophical doctrines. Rather, we want to get you to think critically and self-consciously about the world and your place in it. Doing this will of course require you to study a bit of philosophy—but that is the means, rather than the end.

Materials Required

Course Packet. Readings Posted to Blackboard

Lectures posted online

I have tried to provide you with interesting material to read free of charge -- please do read it!

Evaluation

Six Module Quizzes: Quizzes are worth 100 points each. Quizzes must be completed by the due date listed in the course calendar at 12:00 pm.

Six Discussion Board Participation Grades: Participation in the discussion boards for each module is required. Points will be allocated as follows:
- DB #1: One post consisting of at least five full sentences. 50 points
- DBs #2-6: One post consisting of at least five full sentences. 30 points
- DBs #2-6 Responses: Two responses to a fellow student consisting of at least two full sentences. 10 points each

Total DB points: 300 points

NOTE: Discussion board content must be substantive and address the material being covered in the module. Merely quoting or summarizing course content will not get you full points. Similarly, comments that merely agree with another person’s post will not be counted. Please see the Netiquette section below for appropriate discussion board behavior. Discussion board comments and responses for each module must be completed by the date given in the course calendar at 12:00 pm.

Total Possible Points for Course: 900

The grading scale for this class is given below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>≥89.5%</td>
<td>≥805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>≥79.5%</td>
<td>804-715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>≥69.5%</td>
<td>714-625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>≥59.5%</td>
<td>624-535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>&lt;59.5%</td>
<td>≤534</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACADEMIC INTEGRITY AND NETTIQUETTE

Academic Integrity

Academic integrity is a concern for everyone. By joining the UTEP community every student agrees to abide by the Scholastic Dishonesty Policy. Any student who commits an act of scholastic dishonesty is subject to discipline. Scholastic dishonesty includes, but is not limited to cheating, plagiarism, collusion, and the submission for credit of any work or materials that are attributable to another person.

Cheating:
- Copying from the test paper of another student
- Communicating with another student during a test
- Giving or seeking aid from another student during a test
- Possession and/or use of unauthorized materials during tests (i.e. Crib notes, class notes, books, etc)
- Substituting for another person to take a test

Falsifying research data, reports, academic work offered for credit

Plagiarism
- Using someone’s work in your assignments without the proper citations
- Submitting the same paper or assignment from a different course, without direct permission of instructors

Collusion
- Unauthorized collaboration with another person in preparing academic assignments

Netiquette
- Always consider audience.
• Remember that members of the class and the instructor will be reading any postings.
• Respect and courtesy must be provided to classmates and to instructor at all times.
• No harassment or inappropriate postings will be tolerated.
• When reacting to someone else’s message, address the ideas, not the person. Post only what anyone would comfortably state in a F2F situation.
• Blackboard is not a public internet venue; all postings to it should be considered private and confidential. Whatever is posted on in these online spaces is intended for classmates and professor only. Please do not copy documents and paste them to a publicly accessible website, blog, or other space. If students wish to do so, they have the ethical obligation to first request the permission of the writer(s).
Class Schedule: The following is a detailed schedule of classes. The schedule, however, is flexible. I will freely amend it as time, interest, and comprehension dictate.

W  Jan. 18  Introduction

**Module 1. Logic & Philosophical Analysis**

M  Jan. 23  Argument, Validity and Soundness
W  Jan. 25  Truth Tables and Logical Connectives
M  Jan. 30  Basic Logic
W  Feb. 01  Modality & the Nature of Philosophical Analysis

**Module 2. Epistemic Value (The Nature and Value of Knowledge)**

M  Feb. 06  The Logic of Caring
  - Linda Zagzebski, excerpt from *On Epistemology*
  - **Feb. 07: First Writing Assignment Due**
W  Feb. 08  The Value of Knowledge & the Meno Problem
  - Plato. Excerpt from the *Meno*
  - **Feb. 11: First Quiz Due**
M  Feb. 13  The Gettier Problem
  - Edmund Gettier, "Is knowledge justified, true belief?"
W  Feb. 15  The Threat of Skepticism & Epistemic Closure
  - Rene Descrates, Meditation I from *Meditations on First Philosophy*
M  Feb. 20  The Ethics of Belief & Epistemic Character
  - William Clifford. "The ethics of belief"
  - **Feb. 23: Second Writing Assignment Due**

**Module 3. Normative Value: Ethics**

W  Feb. 22  Applied Ethics: A Case Study
  - Peter Singer. "Famine, affluence, and morality"
  - **Feb. 25: Second Quiz Due**
M  Feb. 27  Against Ethical Relativism
  - James/Stuart Rachels, The Elements of Moral Philosophy, Chs. 2 and 3
W  Mar. 01  Consequentialism I: Ethical Egoism
  - James/Stuart Rachels, The Elements of Moral Philosophy, Ch. 5
M  Mar. 06  Consequentialism II: Utilitarianism
  - James/Stuart Rachels, The Elements of Moral Philosophy, Chs. 7 and 8
• J. S. Mill, excerpts from *Utilitarianism*
  
W Mar. 08 Deontology

• James/Stuart Rachels, The Elements of Moral Philosophy, Ch. 10
  
M Mar. 13 SPRING BREAK

W Mar. 15 SPRING BREAK

**Module 4. Normative Value: Political Philosophy**

M Mar. 20 Hobbes and Social Contract Theory

• Thomas Hobbes, excerpts from *The Leviathan*

• **Mar. 21: Third Writing Assignment Due**

W Mar. 22 J. S. Mill and Liberal Neutrality

• J. S. Mill, excerpts from *On Freedom*

M Mar. 27 Rawlsian Liberalism

• John Rawls, excerpts from *A Theory of Justice*

W Mar. 29 Nozick and Libertarianism

• Robert Nozick, excerpts from *Anarchy, State, and Utopia*

**Module 4. Aesthetic Value**

M Apr. 03 Aesthetic Experience: Distance and Immersion

• Edward Bullough, excerpt from “‘Psychical distance’ as a factor in art and an esthetic principle”

• **Apr. 05: Fourth Writing Assignment Due**

W Apr. 05 Objects of Aesthetic Experience

• **Apr. 08: Third Quiz Due**

M Apr. 10 Aesthetics of Nature

• TBD (something from Alan Carlson)

W Apr. 12 Aesthetics of Everything

**Module 5. Being Human, Free Will, and Meaning in Life**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Assignment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>M</strong> Apr. 17</td>
<td>Cognition and the Essence of Being Human</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Rene Descrates, Meditation I &amp; II from <em>Meditations on First Philosophy</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Apr. 19</strong>: Fifth Writing Assignment Due</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>W</strong> Apr. 19</td>
<td>Free Will</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| • Kevin Timpe, “Free will,” The Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy  
[https://www.iep.utm.edu/freewill/](https://www.iep.utm.edu/freewill/) |
| **Apr. 22**: Fourth Quiz Due |
| **M** Apr. 24 | Against Phenomenal Hedonism |
| • Robert Nozick. "The experience machine" |
| **W** Apr. 26 | Meaning of/in Life |
| • Susan Wolf, excerpts from *Meaning in Life and Why It Matters*, course packet |
| **M** May 1 | The Evaluative Balancing Act |
| **W** May 3 | Catch-up |
| **May 04**: Sixth Writing Assignment Due |
| **May 11**: Fifth Quiz Due |